

rules against perpetuities practice problems

Rules against perpetuities practice problems are essential for understanding the complexities of property law and the limitations placed on the duration of certain interests in property. The rule against perpetuities (RAP) serves as a crucial mechanism to prevent the indefinite control of property by the deceased, ensuring that property interests vest within a reasonable time frame. This article will explore the nuances of RAP, provide practice problems, and elaborate on strategies for solving them effectively.

Understanding the Rule Against Perpetuities

The rule against perpetuities is a legal doctrine designed to limit the time frame within which future interests in property must vest. In essence, the RAP states that no interest in property is valid unless it must vest, if at all, within 21 years after the death of a relevant life in being at the time the interest was created. This rule is significant in various aspects of property law, including wills, trusts, and inter vivos transfers.

Key Concepts of the Rule

To better grasp the RAP, it is essential to understand several key concepts:

1. **Future Interests:** These are rights to property that will become possessory in the future, such as remainders and executory interests.
2. **Life in Being:** This refers to individuals whose lives are relevant to determining the vesting of a future interest. A life in being can be anyone who is alive at the time the interest is created.
3. **Vesting:** An interest is said to vest when it becomes possessory or when the right to possess the property is confirmed.
4. **Measuring Lives:** The lives in being that are relevant to the RAP are typically those mentioned in the conveyance or will.
5. **21-Year Rule:** The core of the RAP states that future interests must vest within 21 years of the death of a life in being.

Practice Problems

Now that we have established a foundation of the rule against perpetuities, let's delve into some practice problems to solidify this understanding.

Problem 1: Basic Application

Scenario: A testator devises property to “my children who survive me, and if any of my children do not survive me, to their children who survive them.”

Question: Does this devise violate the rule against perpetuities?

Solution:

- Identify the lives in being: The testator’s children.
- Determine the future interests: The property is given to the children, and if any child predeceases, their share goes to their children.
- Analyze the vesting: The interest must vest within the lifetime of the testator’s children and their children.
- Conclusion: This scenario does not violate the RAP, as the interests will vest within the lifetimes of the current children and their children, making it valid.

Problem 2: More Complex Scenario

Scenario: A person conveys property “to my niece Alice for her life, then to her children, but if Alice has no children, then to my friend Bob.”

Question: Is this conveyance valid under the rule against perpetuities?

Solution:

- Identify lives in being: Alice and her potential children.
- Future interests: Alice has a life estate, and there is a contingent remainder to her children or, if they do not exist, a remainder to Bob.
- Analyze the vesting: If Alice has no children, Bob’s interest may not vest until the end of her life, and it is uncertain if Alice will have children.
- Conclusion: This scenario potentially violates the RAP. Bob's interest could vest beyond the 21-year limit after Alice dies and if no children exist, making it problematic.

Problem 3: The Uncertain Future Interest

Scenario: A grandparent transfers property to “my grandchildren who reach the age of 30, but if they do not, then to the local university.”

Question: Does this conveyance violate the RAP?

Solution:

- Identify lives in being: The grandchildren.
- Future interests: The grandchildren's interests are contingent upon them reaching age 30.
- Analyze the vesting: If none of the grandchildren reach age 30, the university will take the property.
- Conclusion: This scenario violates the RAP because the grandchildren could potentially not reach age 30 within 21 years of the grandparent’s death, and the interest in the university would not vest within the appropriate timeframe.

Strategies for Solving RAP Problems

To effectively tackle problems related to the rule against perpetuities, one can use several strategies:

1. **Identify Relevant Parties:** Always pinpoint the lives in being and the individuals who hold potential future interests.
2. **Determine the Timing:** Assess when the future interests must vest and if they comply with the 21-year rule.
3. **Analyze Future Events:** Consider all potential outcomes, including those that could lead to the interests not vesting as expected.
4. **Break It Down:** Simplify complex scenarios by breaking them into components. Assess each future interest separately to determine validity.
5. **Use Examples:** Refer to case law or hypothetical situations to clarify understanding and application of RAP.

Conclusion

The rules against perpetuities practice problems illustrate the intricacies involved in property law and the significance of understanding how future interests must vest. By grasping the fundamental concepts of RAP, engaging with practice problems, and employing effective strategies, individuals can navigate this complex area of law with greater confidence. Whether you are a student preparing for exams or a legal professional refining your expertise, mastering the RAP is crucial for ensuring the validity of future interests in property.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the Rule Against Perpetuities?

The Rule Against Perpetuities is a legal doctrine that limits the duration of certain future interests in property to prevent them from lasting indefinitely. Specifically, it states that a future interest must vest, if at all, within 21 years after the death of a specified individual.

How does the Rule Against Perpetuities apply to trusts?

In trusts, the Rule Against Perpetuities ensures that no trust can last longer than the permissible vesting period. If a trust is created that violates the rule, it may be deemed void or invalid for the excessive duration.

Can you provide an example of a scenario that violates the

Rule Against Perpetuities?

An example would be a bequest that states, 'I leave my estate to my grandchildren who reach the age of 30.' This could violate the rule if it is uncertain when the grandchildren will be born, as they could potentially be conceived many years later, causing the interest to vest beyond the permissible timeframe.

What is a 'measuring life' in the context of the Rule Against Perpetuities?

A 'measuring life' refers to a person whose life is used to determine the vesting period of a future interest. The interest must vest within 21 years after the death of this individual for it to comply with the Rule Against Perpetuities.

What is the 'wait and see' approach to the Rule Against Perpetuities?

The 'wait and see' approach allows courts to wait until the end of the measuring life to see if a future interest actually vests within the permissible time frame, rather than invalidating the interest at the time it is created.

How can a future interest be valid under the Rule Against Perpetuities?

To ensure a future interest is valid, it must be structured so that it is certain to vest within 21 years of the death of a measuring life, or it can be created in a way that it does not create a contingent interest beyond that timeframe.

What is the common law rule for assessing whether a future interest violates the Rule Against Perpetuities?

Under common law, a future interest violates the Rule Against Perpetuities if there is any possibility that it could vest more than 21 years after the death of the measuring life, regardless of how unlikely that possibility may be.

What are some common exceptions to the Rule Against Perpetuities?

Common exceptions include charitable trusts, where the rule may not apply, and certain statutory modifications that allow for longer vesting periods or specific exceptions based on jurisdiction.

How does the Rule Against Perpetuities differ between states?

Different states may have variations in their application of the Rule Against Perpetuities, including modifications such as the 'wait and see' doctrine, or adopting a uniform statutory approach that alters the traditional rule.

What should practitioners consider when drafting documents involving future interests?

Practitioners should carefully analyze the language of future interests to ensure compliance with the Rule Against Perpetuities, considering the measuring lives, potential vesting events, and the overall duration to avoid creating invalid interests.

Rules Against Perpetuities Practice Problems

Rules Against Perpetuities Practice Problems

Related Articles

- [risk management institution of australasia](#)
- [safety care training holds](#)
- [saker mini chainsaw manual](#)

[Back to Home](#)